| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN, Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General MICHELE R. VAN GELDEREN, State Bar N Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, California 90013  Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California  SUPERIOR COURT OF TH | o. 171931<br>E STATE OF CALIFORNIA                  |  |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| 10                | FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO  |   |  |
| 11<br>12          | THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,   | Case No.:   |  |
| 13                | Plaintiff,   | COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES, INJUNCTION AND OTHER |  |
| 14                | v.   | EQUITABLE RELIEF                                    |  |
| 15<br>16          | RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY, an Ohio corporation by operation of and as successor by merger with RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY, a Delaware corporation,  |   |  |
| 17                | Defendants.  |   |  |
| 18                | Dorondants.  |   |  |
| 19                |  |   |  |
| 20                | Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("Plaintiff" or the "People"), by its   |   |  |
| 21                | attorney, Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, is informed and believes, and   |   |  |
| 22                | on such information and belief alleges, as follows:  |   |  |
| 23                | <u>PARTIES</u>   |   |  |
| 24                | 1. Defendant RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY ("Ralphs" or "Defendant")  |   |  |
| 25                | is an Ohio corporation by operation of, and as successor by merger with, Ralphs Grocery  |   |  |
| 26                | Company, a Delaware corporation. Ralphs operates over 300 supermarkets under various   |   |  |
| 27                | banners such as Ralphs Supermarkets, Cala Foods and Bell Markets (the "Stores") throughout   |   |  |
| 28                | California, including in San Diego County.   |   |  |
|                   | 1  |   |  |
|                   | Complaint for Civil Penalties, Injunction and Other Equitable Relief   |   |  |

## "THE GREAT ESCAPE" PROMOTION

- 2. Beginning in or about the spring of 2003, Ralphs advertised the "The Great Escape" promotion, by which Club Card customers of the Stores would receive a certificate good for a free two-night hotel stay for each \$400 in qualifying purchases made at the Stores between June 4 and July 15, 2003 (the "Great Escape Promotion.") Ralphs advertised the Great Escape Promotion to the public by various methods including circulars distributed to the public, in-store announcements and in-store signs. Consumers were not informed in those advertisements that conditions and restrictions applied to the offer. The advertisements stated only that consumers could see the Store or the customer service desk at the Store for details.

  3. Consumers who inquired at the Store's customer service desk were given a
- 3. Consumers who inquired at the Store's customer service desk were given a one-page information sheet, which listed significant conditions and restrictions that were not disclosed in advertisements for the Great Escape Promotion. Among other things, the information sheet specified that:
  - A. travel dates must be processed at least 45 days in advance;
  - B. consumers must provide three choices of requested travel dates that are at least 14 days apart;
  - C. reservations must be booked by August 15, 2003; and
  - D. travel must be completed by December 15, 2003.
- 4. Upon request, Ralphs gave Club Card customers who earned the two-night hotel stay a "Reservation Redemption Certificate" (the "Certificate"). This Certificate contained additional conditions and restrictions that had not been disclosed previously to consumers.

  Among other things, the Certificate provided that:
  - A. the customer is responsible for taxes;
  - B. all awards are "subject to program space availability"; and
  - C. holiday and heavy travel periods may not be available.
- 5. Hundreds of thousands of Ralphs Club Card customers who qualified for a free two-night stay through The Great Escape Promotion requested and received a Certificate at

the Store, and tens of thousands of those customers attempted to redeem their Certificate, either by mailing it to the address provided or by phoning the number on the Certificate.

- 6. Of the qualifying Club Card customers who attempted to redeem their Certificates, most did not receive their award. Among other things:
  - A. those customers were falsely told that they did not qualify because they filled out the Certificate incorrectly;
  - B. those customers were falsely told that all three of their date/location choices were unavailable;
  - C. those customers who redeemed their Certificates by calling the phone number designated on the Certificate did not receive the award as promised;
  - D. those customers who attempted to redeem their Certificates by phone were unable to reach a representative; and
  - E. those customers who mailed in the Certificate received no response.
- 7. Certain customers who attempted to redeem their Certificates, but did not receive the hotel award, were offered either a \$50 or \$100 coupon toward a two-night hotel stay. Ralphs offered the \$50 coupon to customers who, according to Ralphs, did not comply with the Great Escape Promotion's terms and conditions; Ralphs offered the \$100 coupon to customers who had fulfilled all of the Great Escape Promotion's terms and conditions and had properly redeemed the Certificate, but nevertheless did not receive the hotel award to which Ralphs acknowledged they were entitled.
- 8. Ralphs stated to its customers that it offered the coupons to them as an expression of appreciation to those customers who did not receive a hotel award, but in reality Ralphs used the coupon process to extract a release of claims from those customers. A broad release and waiver of liability was included in the "claim form" that customers were required to sign in order to redeem the coupon. Customers were not adequately informed of the existence and significance of the release and waiver.

- 9. The reverse side of the coupons also listed significant conditions and restrictions, including, but not necessarily limited to, the following:
  - A. The coupon could be redeemed only at high-end hotels like
    Marriott and Renaissance Hotels, in contrast to the original Great
    Escape Promotion, which included lower-priced hotels like
    Marriott Courtyard, Fairfield Inn and Ramada Inn. Because
    consumers would be required to pay the balance of the room
    charges, redemption of the coupon could be prohibitively
    expensive for some consumers.
  - B. The coupon could be used only for "publicly listed and available room rates and packages, excluding catering, group and contract rates," and could not be used in conjunction with certain other discounts. As a result, the consumers could be required to pay a much higher rate than would be available to them in the marketplace, potentially rendering the coupon worthless.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## VIOLATIONS OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17500 (FALSE OR MISLEADING STATEMENTS)

- 10. The People reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, of this Complaint.
- 11. Defendant has violated Business and Professions Code section 17500 by making or causing to be made false or misleading statements with the intent to induce members of the public to make purchases at Ralphs stores. These false or misleading statements include, but are not necessarily limited to, Defendant's representations that Club Card customers would receive a certificate good for a free two-night hotel stay for each \$400 in qualifying purchases that they made at the Stores between June 4 and July 15, 2003. These statements were untrue or misleading in that, inter alia,

27

Code sections 17200 and 17500 as alleged in this complaint.

| 1  | 6. That the People recover their costs of suit.  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | <ul><li>7. Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.</li></ul> |  |
| 3  | DATED: July 21, 20   | 04 BILL LOCKYER.   |
| 4  |  | Attorney General ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN,  |
| 5  |  | Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General MICHELE R. VAN GELDEREN Deputy Attorney General |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  |  | By MICHELE R. VAN GELDEREN   |
| 8  |  | Attorneys for Plaintiff,<br>the People of the State of California                        |
| 9  |  | the People of the State of Camornia  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |
|    |  | 7  |